

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/788,560 02/27/2004 Robert P. Howell 006149.P008 9109 EXAMINER 7590 10/01/2004

Stephen M. De Klerk BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025

HEINRICH, SAMUEL M PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1725

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/788,560	HOWELL, ROBERT P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel M Heinrich	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sed ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to methods of laser marking.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to laser apparatus.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for different processes such as for laser texturing of a work piece surface.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with attorney Stephen M. De Klerk on September 16, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 8 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/788,560

Art Unit: 1725

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, last two lines, neither "the data set" or "the reference position" have antecedent basis. Claim 5, neither "the vector set" or "the difference" have antecedent basis in the base claim. Claims 2, 3, 4, and 6 depend from the indefinite claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,721,605 to Mizutani. AAPA

describes (Discussion of Related Art) the well known use of laser marking of patterns on
articles. The AAPA does not describe focus detection and laser marking using the data
obtained from focus detection. Mizutani describes (Background of the Invention) an
alignment device adapted to be used in manufacturing various devices. Mizutani
describes (e.g., column 6, line 9+) focus detection. The use of focus detection as
described in Mizutani in the well known pattern marking methods described by AAPA
would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having
ordinary skill in the art because the focus detection provides improved positioning for
laser marking.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1725

Claim 7 is allowed.

**Conclusion** 

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to laser marking.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Samuel M Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-

272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner

Samuel M. Henrich

Art Unit 1725

**SMH**